

Tsuji's Numerically Trivial Fibrations and Abundance

*Shigetaka Fukuda

Abstract

We apply Tsuji's theory of numerically trivial fibrations to the abundance problem.

In this article every algebraic variety is defined over the field of complex numbers $\mathbf{C}$ and the standard notation and terminology of the minimal model theory (see [7]) is used.

We note the famous abundance conjecture:

Conjecture 0.1 (Abundance). *Let X be an n -dimensional projective variety with only $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities such that the canonical divisor K_X is nef. Then K_X is semi-ample.*

The conjecture above is based on the classification philosophy that a minimal algebraic variety should be decomposed into varieties of parabolic type (whose canonical divisors are numerically trivial) and a variety of hyperbolic type (whose canonical divisor is ample). The log abundance conjecture has been considered by experts to make possible the inductive treatment for the abundance conjecture on dimension:

Conjecture 0.2 (Log Abundance for klt pairs). *Let (X, Δ) be an n -dimensional projective variety with only Kawamata log terminal (klt) singularities such that the log canonical divisor $K_X + \Delta$ is nef. Then $K_X + \Delta$ is semi-ample.*

To state the main theorem, we make two definitions:

*2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E30; Secondary 14J10.

Definition 0.3. We say that a property $P(v)$ holds for a *very general* point v of an algebraic variety V if there exist countably many closed proper subsets G_i of V such that the property $P(t)$ holds for every closed point $t \in V \setminus (\bigcup G_i)$.

Definition 0.4 (Tsuji [13]). For a nef $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor D on an algebraic variety X and a point $x \in X$, we set $N(D; x) := \max\{\dim V; V \text{ is a subvariety of } X \text{ such that } x \in V \text{ and that } D|_V \text{ is numerically trivial}\}$ and $N(D, X) := \max\{d \in \mathbf{N}; N(D; x) \geq d \text{ for a very general point } x \in X\}$.

The following three problems are well known to experts, concerning the abundance conjecture:

Problem 0.5. *The log minimal model conjecture for $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial Kawamata log terminal (klt) pairs.*

Problem 0.6. *Assume that (X, Δ) is $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial and klt, that $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism between normal projective varieties with only connected fibers and that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^*A$ for some $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor A . Is $K_X + \Delta$ $\mathbf{Q}$ -linearly equivalent to $f^*(K_S + \Delta_S)$ for some klt pair (S, Δ_S) ?*

Problem 0.6 was treated in Fujino [2].

Problem 0.7. *Assume that (X, Δ) is $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial, projective and klt and that $K_X + \Delta$ is nef and $N(K_X + \Delta, X) = 0$. Is $K_X + \Delta$ semi-ample? (i.e. When $K_X + \Delta$ is **numerically “nef and big”**, is it nef and big?)*

Now we state our main theorem:

Theorem 0.8. *If all the answers to Problems 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 are affirmative, then Conjecture 0.2 is true and so is the abundance conjecture 0.1.*

The main tool for the proof of Theorem 0.8 is Tsuji’s theory of numerically trivial fibrations:

Theorem 0.9 (Tsuji [13] (cf. [1])). *Let L be a nef $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on a normal projective variety X . Then there exist projective varieties X_1 and Y and morphisms $\mu : X_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f : X_1 \rightarrow Y$ with the following properties:*

- (1) μ is birational.
- (2) f is surjective and the function field $\text{Rat } Y$ is algebraically closed in $\text{Rat } X_1$.

- (3) $\dim Y = \dim X - N(L, X)$.
- (4) $X_1 \subset X \times Y$, the morphisms μ and f are natural projections and $\mu^*L|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ is numerically trivial for a very general point $y \in Y$.
- (5) $\mu^{-1}(\mu(f^{-1}(y_0))) = f^{-1}(y_0)$ for some closed point $y_0 \in Y$.

In Section 1, we collect lemmas and notions. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 0.8. In Section 3, we state some applications.

1 Preliminaries

It is known that the (logarithmic) Kodaira dimension is invariant under the (log) minimal model procedure:

Lemma 1.1 ([5]). (1) Let (Y_{lm}, Δ_{lm}) be a (relative) log minimal model for a $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial klt pair (Y, Δ) . We consider common resolutions γ_1 and γ_2 that are projective morphisms:

$$(Y, \Delta) \xleftarrow{\gamma_1} W \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} (Y_{lm}, \Delta_{lm})$$

Then $K_W + \gamma_{1*}^{-1}\Delta + (1 - \epsilon)E \geq \gamma_1^*(K_Y + \Delta) \geq \gamma_2^*(K_{Y_{lm}} + \Delta_{lm})$ for some sufficiently small positive rational number ϵ , where E is the reduced divisor composed of γ_1 -exceptional prime divisors.

(2) Let M be a (relative) minimal model for a variety X_2 with only $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities. We consider common resolutions γ_1 and γ_2 that are projective morphisms:

$$X_2 \xleftarrow{\gamma_1} W \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} M$$

Then $K_W \geq \gamma_1^*K_{X_2} \geq \gamma_2^*K_M$.

In this paper we denote the Iitaka dimension by κ and the numerical Iitaka dimension for a nef $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor by ν . Nakayama generalized the concept of numerical Iitaka dimension for a nef $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor to the case of $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisors which are not necessarily nef:

Definition 1.2 (Nakayama [11]). For a $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor D and an ample divisor A on a nonsingular projective variety X , we define $\kappa_\sigma(D, A) := \max\{k \in (\mathbf{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}); \text{there exists a positive number } c \text{ such that } \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD + A)) \geq cm^k \text{ for every sufficiently large and divisible integer } m\}$ and Nakayama's σ -dimension $\kappa_\sigma(D, X) := \max\{\kappa_\sigma(D, A); A \text{ is an ample divisor on } X\}$.

Lemma 1.3 (Nakayama [11]). *Let D be a $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor on a normal projective variety X , $f : Z \rightarrow X$ a surjective morphism from a nonsingular projective variety and E an f -exceptional effective $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor.*

(1) In the case where X is nonsingular, $\kappa_\sigma(D, X) \geq 0$ if and only if D is pseudo-effective.

*(2) In the case where X is nonsingular, $\kappa_\sigma(f^*D, Z) = \kappa_\sigma(D, X)$.*

*(3) $\kappa_\sigma(f^*D + E, Z) = \kappa_\sigma(f^*D, Z)$ if f is birational.*

(4) In the case where X is nonsingular and D is nef, $\kappa_\sigma(D, X) = \nu(D, X)$.

2 Proof of Theorem 0.8

We prove the theorem by induction on dimension. We may assume that X is $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial by taking a $K_X + \Delta$ -crepant $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorialization due to Problem 0.5.

Case 1: $N(K_X + \Delta, X) = n$ (i.e. $K_X + \Delta$ is numerically trivial). We use Kawamata's argument ([4], the proof of Theorem 8.2).

Subcase 1(a): $q(X) = 0$. In this subcase, the numerical triviality implies the $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear triviality.

Subcase 1(b): $q(X) \geq 1$. We have the Albanese morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$. Consider the Stein factorization:

$$f : X \xrightarrow{g} X' \xrightarrow{h} f(X)$$

If $\dim f(X) < \dim X$ then, from the induction hypothesis $(K_X + \Delta)|_{g^{-1}(x')} = K_{g^{-1}(x')} + \Delta|_{g^{-1}(x')}$ is $\mathbf{Q}$ -linearly trivial for a general point $x' \in X'$ and thus, from Nakayama ([8], Theorem 5), $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} g^*A$ for some $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor A on X' . Therefore Problem 0.6 and the induction hypothesis imply the assertion. If $\dim f(X) = \dim X$, then the Kodaira dimension of a smooth model of $f(X)$ is non-negative from the theory of Abelian varieties, thus so is the Kodaira dimension of a smooth model of X . Consequently we have $\kappa(K_X + \Delta) \geq 0$.

Case 2: $0 < N(K_X + \Delta, X) < n$. From Tsuji (Theorem 0.9), there exist projective varieties X_1 and Y and morphisms $\mu : X_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f : X_1 \rightarrow Y$ with the following properties:

(1) μ is birational.

(2) f is surjective and the function field $\text{Rat } Y$ is algebraically closed in $\text{Rat } X_1$.

(3) $\dim Y = \dim X - N(K_X + \Delta, X)$.

(4) $X_1 \subset X \times Y$, the morphisms μ and f are natural projections and $\mu^*(K_X + \Delta)|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ is numerically trivial for a very general point $y \in Y$.

(5) $\mu^{-1}(\mu(f^{-1}(y_0))) = f^{-1}(y_0)$ for some closed point $y_0 \in Y$.

From (5) and Zariski's Main Theorem, there exists an open subset X_0 of X such that $\mu^{-1}(X_0) \supset f^{-1}(y_0)$ and $X_0 \cong$ (the normalization of $\mu^{-1}(X_0)$). Then $X_0 \cong \mu^{-1}(X_0)$. Put $V := Y \setminus f(X_1 \setminus \mu^{-1}(X_0))$ and $U := \mu(f^{-1}(V))$. We note that V and U are non-empty and open. Then $U \cong \mu^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(V)$. For a very general point $y \in Y$, the pair $(f^{-1}(y), \mu^*\Delta|_{f^{-1}(y)})$ is with only klt singularities and $K_{f^{-1}(y)} + \mu^*\Delta|_{f^{-1}(y)} = \mu^*(K_X + \Delta)|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ is numerically trivial and thus, from the induction hypothesis, $\mathbf{Q}$ -linearly trivial.

This paragraph is a variant of Nakayama ([10], Appendix A). Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & X_2 \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & Y \\ \mu \downarrow & & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

where $\mu\rho$ is a log resolution of (X, Δ) and X_2 is a projective variety. According to Problem 0.5 we can apply the relative log minimal model program for $g : (X_2, (\mu\rho)_*^{-1}\Delta + (1 - \epsilon)E_2) \rightarrow Y$ where E_2 is the reduced divisor composed of $\mu\rho$ -exceptional prime divisors and where ϵ is a sufficiently small positive rational number. We end up with the relative log minimal model $h : (M, \Delta_M) \rightarrow Y$. Here $K_M + \Delta_M$ is h -nef and $0 = \kappa(K_{f^{-1}(y)} + \mu^*\Delta|_{f^{-1}(y)}, f^{-1}(y)) = \kappa(K_{g^{-1}(y)} + (\mu\rho)_*^{-1}\Delta|_{g^{-1}(y)} + (1 - \epsilon)E_2|_{g^{-1}(y)}, g^{-1}(y)) = \kappa(K_{h^{-1}(y)} + \Delta_M|_{h^{-1}(y)}, h^{-1}(y))$ for a very general point $y \in Y$ from Lemma 1.1 (we note $\text{Exc}(\rho|_{g^{-1}(y)}) = \text{Exc}(\rho)|_{g^{-1}(y)}$). Then $(K_M + \Delta_M)|_{h^{-1}(y)} = K_{h^{-1}(y)} + \Delta_M|_{h^{-1}(y)}$ is $\mathbf{Q}$ -linearly trivial from the induction hypothesis. Thus from Nakayama ([8], Theorem 5) (see also [5], 6-1-11), we obtain a projective variety $Y_2 := \mathbf{P}(\bigoplus_{l \geq 0} h_*\mathcal{O}_M(l(K_M + \Delta_M)))$, a natural morphism $p : M \rightarrow Y_2$ and a $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor A on Y_2 such that $K_M + \Delta_M \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} p^*A$. From Problem 0.6, $K_M + \Delta_M \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} p^*(K_{Y_2} + \Delta_2)$, for some klt pair (Y_2, Δ_2) .

We consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X_2 & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & W_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & W_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta} & W_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} Y_{lm} \\
g \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_1 \\
Y & \xleftarrow{\quad} & M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y_2 & \xleftarrow{q} & Y_Q
\end{array}$$

$\xleftarrow{h} \quad \quad \quad \xrightarrow{p} \quad \quad \quad \xleftarrow{q}$

where the morphisms α and β are projective, they are common resolutions of X_2 and M , the morphism $q : (Y_Q, \Delta_Q) \rightarrow (Y_2, \Delta_2)$ is a $K_X + \Delta$ -crepant $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorialization of (Y_2, Δ_2) according to Problem 0.5 (i.e. (Y_Q, Δ_Q) is projective, $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial and klt and $q^*(K_{Y_2} + \Delta_2) = K_{Y_Q} + \Delta_Q$), the log variety (Y_{lm}, Δ_{lm}) is a log minimal model for (Y_Q, Δ_Q) according to Problem 0.5, the morphisms γ_1 and γ_2 are projective and they are common resolutions of Y_Q and Y_{lm} .

From Lemmas 1.1 and 1.3 we derive the equalities $\nu(K_X + \Delta, X) = \nu(\rho^* \mu^*(K_X + \Delta), X_2) = \kappa_\sigma(\rho^* \mu^*(K_X + \Delta), X_2) = \kappa_\sigma(K_{X_2} + (\mu\rho)_*^{-1} \Delta + (1 - \epsilon)E_2, X_2) = \kappa_\sigma(\alpha^*(K_{X_2} + (\mu\rho)_*^{-1} \Delta + (1 - \epsilon)E_2), W_1) = \kappa_\sigma(K_{W_1} + \alpha_*^{-1}((\mu\rho)_*^{-1} \Delta) + \alpha_*^{-1}((1 - \epsilon)E_2) + E_W, W_1) = \kappa_\sigma(\beta^*(K_M + \Delta_M), W_1) = \kappa_\sigma(\beta^* p^*(K_{Y_2} + \Delta_2), W_1) = \kappa_\sigma(\delta^* \gamma_1^* q^*(K_{Y_2} + \Delta_2), W_1) = \kappa_\sigma(\gamma_1^*(K_{Y_Q} + \Delta_Q), W_2) = \kappa_\sigma(K_{W_2} + \gamma_{1*}^{-1} \Delta_Q + F_W, W_2) = \kappa_\sigma(\gamma_2^*(K_{Y_{lm}} + \Delta_{lm}), W_2) = \nu(\gamma_2^*(K_{Y_{lm}} + \Delta_{lm}), W_2) = \nu(K_{Y_{lm}} + \Delta_{lm}, Y_{lm})$, where E_W and F_W are the reduced divisors composed of α -exceptional and γ_1 -exceptional prime divisors respectively. Because $\kappa(K_{Y_{lm}} + \Delta_{lm}, Y_{lm}) = \nu(K_{Y_{lm}} + \Delta_{lm}, Y_{lm})$ from the induction hypothesis, the equalities above implies that $\kappa(K_X + \Delta, X) = \nu(K_X + \Delta, X)$. Thus Kawamata [3] implies the assertion.

Case 3: $N(K_X + \Delta, X) = 0$. This is Problem 0.7. $\square$

3 Applications

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be an n -dimensional projective variety with only terminal singularities such that the canonical divisor K_X is nef. Assume that the minimal model conjecture is true in dimension n and that the log minimal model and the log abundance conjectures for $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial klt pairs are true in dimension $n - 1$. If $N(K_X, X) = 1$, then the canonical divisor K_X is semi-ample.*

Proof. We note that the number $N(K_X, X)$ is invariant under the procedure of K_X -crepant $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorialization. Problem 0.6 is solved affirmative by

Nakayama ([9], 0.4) in the case where X is with only terminal singularities, $\Delta = 0$ and $\dim X - \dim S = 1$. Thus the proof of Theorem 0.8 works. $\square$

If the four-dimensional minimal model strategy of Shokurov is completed, the following becomes important:

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a projective fourfold with only terminal singularities such that the canonical divisor K_X is nef. Assume that the minimal model conjecture is true in dimension four. If $N(K_X, X) = 1$, then K_X is semi-ample.*

Proof. The log minimal model and the log abundance conjectures for $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial klt pairs in dimension three are proved by Shokurov [12] and by Keel, Matsuki and McKernan [6] respectively. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that (X, Δ) is projective, $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial and klt and that $K_X + \Delta$ is numerically trivial. If the answer to Problem 0.6 is affirmative, then $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbf{Q}$ -linearly trivial.*

Proof. See Case 1 in the proof of Theorem 0.8. $\square$

The following is a variant of a result of Bauer, Campana, Eckl, Kebekus, Peternell, Rams, Szemberg and Wotslaw:

Theorem 3.4 (cf. [1], 2.4.4). *Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt n -fold such that $K_X + \Delta$ is nef and $N(K_X + \Delta, X) = n - 1$. Assume that every numerically trivial log canonical divisor on a projective klt log variety is $\mathbf{Q}$ -linearly trivial in dimension $n - 1$. Then $K_X + \Delta$ is semi-ample.*

Proof. We argue along the lines in the proof of Theorem 0.8. From Tsuji (Theorem 0.9), there exist projective varieties X_1 and Y and morphisms $\mu : X_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f : X_1 \rightarrow Y$ with the following properties:

- (1) μ is birational.
- (2) f is surjective and the function field $\text{Rat } Y$ is algebraically closed in $\text{Rat } X_1$.
- (3) $\dim Y = 1$.
- (4) $X_1 \subset X \times Y$, the morphisms μ and f are natural projections and $\mu^*(K_X + \Delta)|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ is numerically trivial for a very general point $y \in Y$.
- (5) $\mu^{-1}(\mu(f^{-1}(y_0))) = f^{-1}(y_0)$ for some closed point $y_0 \in Y$.

From (5), the rational map $f \cdot \mu^{-1} : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is a morphism ([1], 2.4.4). We consider the Stein factorization:

$$f \cdot \mu^{-1} : X \xrightarrow{p} Y_2 \longrightarrow Y.$$

From the assumption, $(K_X + \Delta)|_{p^{-1}(y_2)}$ is $\mathbf{Q}$ -linearly trivial for a very general point $y_2 \in Y_2$. Thus $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} p^*A$ for some $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor A on Y_2 by Nakayama ([8], Theorem 5). From the fact that $\nu(K_X + \Delta, X) > 0$, we have $\deg A > 0$. Thus $K_X + \Delta$ is semi-ample. $\square$

Corollary 3.5 (cf. [1], 2.4.4). *Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt fourfold such that $K_X + \Delta$ is nef and that $N(K_X + \Delta, X) = 3$. Then the log canonical divisor $K_X + \Delta$ is semi-ample.*

Proof. The assumption of the theorem is satisfied from Keel, Matsuki and McKernan [6]. $\square$

References

- [1] Th. Bauer, F. Campana, Th. Eckl, S. Kebekus, Th. Peternell, S. Rams, T. Szemberg and L. Wotslaw: *A reduction map for nef line bundles*. math. AG/0106147, Jun 2001.
- [2] O. Fujino: *Applications of Kawamata's positivity theorem*. Proc. Japan Acad. **A-75**(1999), 75–79.
- [3] Y. Kawamata: *Pluricanonical systems on minimal algebraic varieties*. Invent. Math. **79**(1985), 567–588.
- [4] Y. Kawamata: *Minimal Models and the Kodaira dimensions of algebraic fiber spaces*. J. reine angew. Math. **363**(1985), 1–46.
- [5] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki: *Introduction to the minimal model problem*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**(1987), 283–360.
- [6] S. Keel, K. Matsuki and J. McKernan: *Log abundance theorem for threefolds*. Duke Math. J. **75**(1994), 99–119.
- [7] J. Kollár et al. : *Flips and Abundance for Algebraic Threefolds*. Astérisque **211**(1992).

- [8] N. Nakayama: *Invariance of the plurigenera of algebraic varieties under minimal model conjectures*. Topology **25**(1986), 237–251.
- [9] N. Nakayama: *On Weierstrass models*. Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra in honor of M. Nagata, Vol. II (1987), 405–431.
- [10] N. Nakayama: *Local structure of an elliptic fibration*. preprint UTYO-MATH **91-28**(1991)
- [11] N. Nakayama: *Zariski decomposition and abundance*. preprint RIMS **1142**(1997)
- [12] V. Shokurov: *3-fold log flips*. Russian. Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40**(1993), 105–203.
- [13] H. Tsuji: *Numerically trivial fibrations*. math. AG/0001023, October 2000.

Faculty of Education, Gifu Shotoku Gakuen University
 Yanaizu-cho, Gifu 501-6194, Japan
 fukuda@ha.shotoku.ac.jp